RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT

Filed 01/22/24 Page 1 of 9 Page ID

Case 2:18-cv-06081-JAK-SK Document 339

1 2 3 4	Carter G. Phillips* cphillips@sidley.com Jennifer J. Clark* jennifer.clark@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: +1 202 736 8000 Facsimile: +1 202 736 8711	Mark E. Haddad (SBN 205945) markhadd@usc.edu Part-time Lecturer in Law USC Gould School of Law** University of Southern California 699 Exposition Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90089 Telephone: +1 213 675-5957
5	Facsimile: +1 202 736 8711	Luis Cartas Damara (SDN 210952)
6 7	Timothy G. Payne* tpayne@sidley.com	Luis Cortes Romero (SBN 310852) lcortes@ia-lc.com Alma L. David (SBN 257676)
8	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP Daniel C. Craig *	adavid@ia-lc.com Immigrant Advocacy &
9	dcraig@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	Litigation Center, PLLC 19309 68th Avenue South, Suite R-102
10	One South Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60603 Telephone: +1 312 853 7000	Kent, WA 98032 Telephone: +1 253 872-4730 Facsimile: +1 253 237-1591
11	Facsimile: +1 312 853 7036	1 desimile. +1 255 257 1571
12	Sean A. Commons (SBN 217603)	
13	scommons@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
14 15	555 West Fifth Street Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: +1 213 896 6000	
16	Facsimile: +1 213 896-6600	
17	*Admitted pro hac vice ** Institution listed for identification	
18	purposes only	
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

TO THE CLERK OF THE CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND TO DEFENDANTS AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Plaintiffs hereby apply *ex parte*, for clarification of the Order Re Stipulation of Dismissal and confirmation that Plaintiffs retain the right to seek attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), which authorizes attorneys' fees and costs incurred by a party in a civil action against the United States. 28 U.S.C. § 2412. In the alternative, Plaintiffs seek *ex parte* relief from the Order Re Stipulation of Dismissal pursuant to Rule 60 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in the event of a clerical mistake. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60.

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 7-19, attached to this *ex parte* application is a memorandum of points and authorities containing the name, address, telephone number and e-mail address of counsel for Plaintiff, the reasons for the seeking of an *ex parte* order, and points and authorities in support thereof. In addition, Defendant is concurrently lodging a proposed *ex parte* order for the relief requested.

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 7-19.1, Defendant hereby states that, on January 19, 2024, Plaintiffs' counsel advised Defendants' counsel by email of the substance of the proposed *ex parte* application with follow-up email communications between counsel for the parties that same day. Defendants' counsel advised by email that Defendants are unopposed to the present *ex parte* application.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, pursuant to the Court's Standing Order, Plaintiffs' counsel telephones Defendants' counsel in advance of this filing and advised that if Defendants' counsel does not intend to oppose the *ex parte* application, counsel must inform the Courtroom Deputy Clerk by telephone as soon as possible.

Date: January 22, 2024 Respectfully submitted,	5)						
2 3	5)						
3	5)						
) []	5)						
By:/s/ Amy Lally Amy P. Lally (SBN 198555	<i>)</i>						
5 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6 Mark Rosenbaum (SBN 59	940)						
7 PUBLIC COUNSEL							
8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19 20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
2 PLAINTIFFS' EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR CLARIFICATION OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT							

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to Local Rule 7-19, Plaintiffs respectfully submit this Memorandum in support of the Unopposed Ex Parte Application for Clarification or in The Alternative For Relief From The Judgment. Plaintiffs are entitled to seek an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), which authorizes the award of attorneys' fees and costs incurred by a party in a civil action against the United States. 28 U.S.C. § 2412. The parties in this case agreed to file a stipulation for dismissal under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) reserving Plaintiffs' right to file a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. ECF No. 334. The parties engaged in good faith negotiations and filed the Stipulation of Dismissal on December 22, 2023. EFC No. 337. In consideration for release and discharge of all claims and causes of action against Defendants, Plaintiffs expressly reserved the right to file a motion for attorneys' fees and costs in connection with this litigation. Id. at ¶ 3. On January 17, 2024, the Court determined "sufficient good cause has been shown for the requested relief" and deemed the Stipulation "APPROVED." EFC No. 338. However, contrary to the Stipulation of Dismissal, the Court ordered that "[e]ach party is to bear its own fees and costs." EFC No. 338.

Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court clarify the Order to confirm that Plaintiffs retain the right to file a motion for attorneys' fees and costs in connection with this litigation. Alternatively, Plaintiffs respectfully requests relief from the final judgement, pursuant to Rule 60(b)(6) on the basis of an inadvertent clerical mistake. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(6). The present *ex parte* Application is necessary because there is inadequate time to request relief on a noticed motion and thereafter timely file a motion for fees and costs pursuant to the EAJA. Defendants have stipulated to Plaintiffs' right to file a motion for attorneys' fees and costs in connection with this litigation. EFC No. 337 ¶ 3. Furthermore, Defendants have advised that they will not

oppose the present *ex parte* Application. Declaration of Amy P. Lally ("Lally Decl."), ¶¶ 2-3.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Thus, Plaintiffs respectfully requests that the Application for Clarification or in The Alternative For Relief From Judgement be deemed filed and that the Application be considered.

II. GROUNDS FOR APPLICATION

Good cause exists to permit Plaintiffs to file its Unopposed Ex Parte Application for Clarification or in The Alterative For Relief From Judgment. A party in a civil action against the United States, is entitled to seek an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the position of the United States was "substantially justified" or special circumstances make an award unjust. See 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A); see also Meier v. Colvin, 727 F.3d 867, 870 (9th Cir. 2013). The parties stipulated to Plaintiffs "right to file a motion for attorneys' fees and costs in connection with this litigation." EFC No. 337, ¶3. However, the Courts' determination that "[e]ach party is to bear its own fees and costs" introduces ambiguity into the Court's approval of the Stipulation that merits correction. EFC No. 338. Clarification of the Order is proper to conform the Court's approval of the Stipulation of Dismissal to the language of the Stipulation of Dismissal, which preserved Plaintiffs' right to seek attorneys' fees and costs. Compare EFC No. 338, with EFC No. 337, ¶3. Alternatively, relief from the final judgement is proper where, as here, there is a clerical mistake in a judgement arising from oversight or omission in the Order, such that the Order does not incorporate all terms of the underlying Stipulation.

Ex parte relief is necessary to timely file a motion for fees. Under the EAJA, a party must request fees within thirty days of final judgment. 28 U.S.C. 2412(d)(1)(B). An order entered upon a stipulation of voluntary dismissal with prejudice is "final, not appealable, and triggers the thirty-day clock" to request fees. Bair v. California Dept.

of Transportation, Case No. C 14-03422 WHA, 2015 WL 1516913, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 31, 2015), aff'd, 685 Fed. Appx. 546 (9th Cir. 2017) (citing *Bryan v. Office of Personnel Management*, 165 F.3d 1315, 1320–21 (10th Cir.1999)). Final judgement was entered in the present litigation on December 17, 2024 (EFC No. 338) and *ex parte* relief is necessary to timely file a motion for fees on or before the February 19, 2024, deadline.

Defendants will not be prejudiced by the present Application because the parties have bargained in good faith and received valuable consideration for Plaintiffs' reservation of the right to seek attorneys' fees in accordance pursuant to the EAJA. 28 U.S.C. § 2412(b). To the contrary, granting the Application is necessary to avoid any potential prejudice to Plaintiffs because failure to do so would preclude Plaintiffs from exercising their right to seek reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses under the EAJA. *Id.*

Thus, good cause exists for Plaintiffs' *ex parte* application for clarification of Plaintiffs' right to file a motion for attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412, or in the alternative, for relief from the judgement pursuant to Rule 60 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

III. CONTACT INFORMATION FOR PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL

Pursuant to Local Rule 7-19, the names, address, telephone numbers, and email addresses of Plaintiff's counsel are as follows:

Sarah B. Fabian

Senior Litigation Counsel

U.S. Department of Justice

Office of Immigration Litigation – District Court Section

PO Box 868, Ben Franklin Station

Washington, D.C. 20044

Sarah.B.Fabian@usdoj.gov

Phone: (202) 532-4824

1 IV. **CONCLUSION** 2 Based on the foregoing, Plaintiffs respectfully requests that the Court correct 3 the inadvertent clerical error, or in the alternative grant relief from the judgement and 4 enter the [Proposed] Order Granting Defendant's Ex Parte Application, which will 5 allow Plaintiffs to timely file a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. 6 Date: January 22, 2024 Respectfully submitted, 7 8 By:/s/ Amy Lally 9 Amy P. Lally (SBN 198555) 10 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 11 Mark Rosenbaum (SBN 59940) 12 PUBLIC COUNSEL 13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 14 15 16 **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE PURSUANT TO L.R. 11-6.2** 17 18 The undersigned, counsel of record for Plaintiffs certifies that this brief contains 19 970 words, which complies with the word limit of L.R. 11-6.1. 20 Date: January 22, 2024 Respectfully submitted, 21 22 23 By: /s/ Amy Lally Amy P. Lally (SBN 198555) 24 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 25 Mark Rosenbaum (SBN 59940) 26 PUBLIC COUNSEL 27

28

Ca	se 2:18-cv-06081-JAK-SK	Document 339 #:5223	Filed 01/22/24	Page 9 of 9	Page ID			
1				a DI				
2			Attorneys f	for Plaintiffs				
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
2627								
28								
20	Dr. a prevence? Ext D. a === 4 =	7	A A DATE OF THE STATE OF	n n: Tree 4				
	PLAINTIFFS' EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR CLARIFICATION OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT							